

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,311	03/01/2002	Daniel Lee Briley	10992014-1	8556
7590 11/29/2006		·	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			JABR, FADEY S	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		3628	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/086,311	BRILEY, DANIEL LEE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fadey S. Jabr	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	1	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Down and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/02, 2/18/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/086,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-12, 14-15, 17-23, 25-31 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leon, U.S. Patent No. 6,701,304 B2.

As per <u>Claims 1 and 11</u>, Leon discloses a method for postage label authentication comprising:

- receiving the mail piece (C. 13, lines 18-33);
- scanning the postage evidence for visible marks and non-visible marks to read visible mark information indicated by the visible marks and non-visible mark information indicated by the non-visible marks (C. 13, lines 18-42); and
- processing the visible mark information and the non-visible mark information to generate postage information for the mail piece (C. 2, lines 21-62).

As per <u>Claims 2 and 12</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the scanning the non-visible marks using an Ultra Violet (UV) light (C. 9, lines 14-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,311

Art Unit: 3628

As per <u>Claims 4 and 14</u>, Leon further discloses processing the non-visible mark information to validate the postage evidence (C. 9, lines 23-25).

As per <u>Claims 5 and 15</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the non-visible mark information comprises a key to the visible mark information (C. 11, line 63 – C. 12, line 7);

As per <u>Claims 7 and 17</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks comprise a bar code (C. 2, lines 39-46).

As per <u>Claims 8 and 18</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks comprise Information Based Indicia (IBI) (C. 7, lines 51-55).

As per <u>Claims 9 and 19</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the postage information includes a postage amount (See Figure 4).

As per <u>Claims 10 and 20</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the postage information includes a data, an origination address, a destination address, and security information (C. 12, lines 16-67; also see Figure 4).

As per Claims 21 and 29, Leon further discloses a method comprising:

- printing visible marks on the mail piece (C. 2, lines 21-62); and

- printing non-visible marks on the mail piece, wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks indicate the postage evidence for the mail piece (C. 2, lines 21-62).

Page 4

As per <u>Claims 22 and 30</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the non-visible marks indicate a validity of the postage evidence (C. 9, lines 23-25).

As per <u>Claims 23 and 31</u>, Leon further discloses wherein information represented by the non-visible marks comprises a key to information represented by the visible marks (C. 11, line 63 – C. 12, line 7).

As per <u>Claims 25 and 33</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks comprise a bar code (C. 2, lines 39-46).

As per <u>Claims 26 and 34</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks comprise Information Based Indicia (IBI) (C. 7, lines 51-55).

As per <u>Claims 27 and 35</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks indicate a postage amount (See Figure 4).

As per <u>Claims 28 and 36</u>, Leon further discloses wherein the visible marks and the non-visible marks indicate a data, an origination address, a destination address and security information (C. 12, lines 16-67; also see Figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,311

Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **3, 6, 13, 16, 24 and 32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leon, U.S. Patent No. 6,701,304 B2.

As per <u>Claims 3 and 13</u>, Leon fails to *explicitly* disclose scanning the non-visible marks using an Infrared (IR) light. However, Leon discloses the ink can be invisible to the human eye under white light (daylight) and become apparent only under light of *specified wavelength(s)* such as UV light (C. 9, lines 18-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Leon and include scanning the invisible ink using light of specified wavelength(s), because provides the system with flexibility since it allows for various inks and detection devices to be used when authenticating mail pieces.

As per <u>Claims 6, 16, 24 and 32</u>, Leon fails to *explicitly* disclose processing the non-visible mark information and the visible mark information using a checksum algorithm.

However, Leon discloses one or more fields in the indicium can be encoded with a particular encryption algorithm (e.g., DES, RSA, or a *comparable algorithm*) or signed using a particular cryptographic or digital signature algorithm (e.g., DSA, RSA, or a *comparable algorithm*), or

Application/Control Number: 10/086,311

Art Unit: 3628

both (C. 11, line 63 – C. 12, line 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Page 6

skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Leon and include

comparable algorithms, because it provides the system with a plurality of algorithms to process

the postage information.

5. Claims 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leon, U.S.

Patent No. 6,701,304 B2 in view of Berson, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,039,257.

As per Claims 37 and 41, Leon discloses a method comprising:

- printing a visible bar code on the mail piece (C. 2, lines 21-62; also see Figure 4).

Leon fails to disclose printing a non-visible bar code on the mail piece within white space on the

visible bar code, wherein the visible bar code and the non-visible bar code indicate the postage

evidence for the mail piece. However, Berson et al. discloses the use of an invisible ink to print

a bar code "over, or as a part of the IBI" creates additional security (C. 2, lines 24-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's

invention to modify the method of Leon and include printing the invisible bar code over or as

part of the IBI as taught by Berson et al., because it provides the system with additional security

for authenticating the mail piece.

As per Claims 38 and 42, Leon discloses wherein the non-visible bar code indicates a

validity of the postage evidence (C. 9, lines 23-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,311 Page 7

Art Unit: 3628

As per <u>Claims 39 and 43</u>, Leon fails to *explicitly* disclose printing the non-visible bar code and the visible bar code based on a checksum algorithm. However, Leon discloses one or more fields in the indicium can be encoded with a particular encryption algorithm (e.g., DES, RSA, or a *comparable algorithm*) or signed using a particular cryptographic or digital signature algorithm (e.g., DSA, RSA, or a *comparable algorithm*), or both (C. 11, line 63 – C. 12, line 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Leon and include comparable algorithms, because it provides the system with a plurality of algorithms to process the postage information.

As per <u>Claims 40 and 44</u>, Leon discloses wherein the visible bar code and the non-visible bar code comprise Information Based Indicia (IBI) (C. 7, lines 51-55).

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadey S. Jabr whose telephone number is (571) 272-1516. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fadey S Jabr Examiner Art Unit 3628 Art Unit: 3628

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-1516 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

JOHN W. HAYES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER